

**HALDIA DOCK COMPLEX
SYAMA PRASAD MOOKERJEE PORT, KOLKATA**

**“ENGAGEMENT OF CONSULTANT FOR STUDY ON DEVELOPMENT OF SMART PORT
INDUSTRIAL CITY ON 170 ACRES OF LAND OF HALDIA DOCK COMPLEX, SYAMA PRASAD
MOOKERJEE PORT, KOLKATA AT HALDIA”**

Tender No.: Ad/146/SPIC/2022

CORRIGENDUM

Page Reference/ Clause/ Section	Original	Revised
Page-5 (SOT) h. Last date and time of submission / receiving original copy of DD / Banker Cheque as EMD & Bid Document fee.	16.01.2023 upto 1500 hrs.	23.01.2023 upto 1500 hrs.
Page-5 (SOT) i. Date of closing of online e-tender for submission of Techno-Commercial Bid & Price Bid.	16.01.2023 upto 1500 hrs.	23.01.2023 upto 1500 hrs.
Page-5 (SOT) j. Date & time of opening of Part-I (i.e. Techno-Commercial Bid)	16.01.2023 upto 1530 hrs.	23.01.2023 upto 1530 hrs.
Page-6 Contact persons (Haldia Dock Complex):	1. Sri D. Satpathy Asst. Manager (Admin) Haldia Dock Complex Ph. No. 03224-264397 E-Mail– satpathy.hdc@kolkataporttrust.gov.in	1. Sri D. Satpathy Asst. Manager (Admin) Haldia Dock Complex Ph. No. 03224-265653 E-Mail– satpathy.hdc@kolkataporttrust.gov.in
Page-9 GUIDELINES FOR REGISTRATION:	1. Bidders are required to enroll on the e-Procurement Portal (https://kopt.enivida.in/bidderRegistration/newRegistration) or click on the link “Bidder Enrolment” available on the home page of e-tender Portal by paying the Registration fee of Rs.2000/- + applicable GST.	1. Bidders are required to enroll on the e-Procurement Portal (https://kopt.enivida.in/bidderRegistration/newRegistration) or click on the link “Bidder Enrolment” available on the home page of e-tender Portal by paying the applicable Registration fee.
Page-11 For any clarification in using eNivida Portal:	Phone No. 011-49606060/7278929467/8448288981	Phone No. 011-49606060/9355030608/9355030630

Clause-IX
(EVALUATION
CRITERIA AND
SCORING OF
TECHNO-
COMMERCIAL BID)

(i) **Number of eligible assignments undertaken by the bidder over the past 7 (seven) years preceding the date of opening of the Techno-Commercial Bid: (Total points 40)**

This aspect would be ranked on percentile basis with the proposal indicating highest number of eligible assignments [refer Section-A, Clause-II (i)] getting full points, i.e. 40. For example, if the highest eligible assignments is 10, points awarded would be 40. Another proposal, with 8 eligible assignments would be getting $40 \times 8/10 = 32$ points.

The following table will show as to how the different eligible assignments undertaken by the bidders will be used for assigning marks.

Consultancy Fees	Criteria of assigning marks.	Marks to be assigned.
Rs 8 lakhs and above	For 1 eligible assignment.	1
Rs 5 lakhs and above but less than Rs 8 lakhs	For 2 eligible assignments.	1
Rs 4 lakhs and above but less than Rs 5 lakhs	For 2 eligible assignments.	1

This aspect would be ranked on percentile basis with the proposal indicating highest number of eligible assignments [refer Section-A, Clause-II (i)] getting full points, i.e. 40. For example, if the highest eligible assignments is 10, points awarded would be 40. Another proposal, with 8 eligible assignments would be getting $40 \times 8/10 = 32$ points.

The following table will show as to how the different eligible assignments undertaken by the bidders will be used for assigning marks.

Consultancy Fees	Criteria of assigning marks.	Marks to be assigned.
Rs 8 lakhs and above	For each eligible Assignment.	1
Rs 5 lakhs and above but less than Rs 8 lakhs	For every group of 2 eligible assignments.	1
Rs 4 lakhs and above but less than Rs 5 lakhs	For every group of 3 eligible assignments.	1

Clause-IX
(EVALUATION
CRITERIA AND
SCORING OF
TECHNO-
COMMERCIAL
BID)

(iv) **Area of expertise of key personnel: (Total points 30)**

c. Maximum points for evaluation of scores for each of the key personnel is shown below: -

Key Resource Person	Max Points
Team Leader-cum Project Coordinator	10
Infrastructure Planning-cum Technical Expert	8
Traffic-cum Marketing Expert	6
Transport Economist-cum Financial Expert	6

The total score on the basis of the points on eligible assignments

c. Maximum points for evaluation of scores for each of the key personnel is shown below: -

Key Resource Person	Max Points
Team Leader cum Infrastructure Planner	12
Traffic -cum Marketing Expert	9
Financial Expert	9

The total score on the basis of the points on eligible assignments shown above at iv(b) would be computed for each key resource person of each bidder and this

	<p>shown above at iv(b) would be computed for each key resource person of each bidder and this would then be ranked on percentile basis with the highest score amongst all bidders getting full points. For example, if the highest no of eligible assignment undertaken by any Team Leader-cum Project Coordinator is say 20, points awarded would be 10. Another Team Leader-cum Project Coordinator, securing a combined score of say 15 would be getting $10 \times 15 / 20 = 7.5$ points.</p>	<p>would then be ranked on percentile basis with the highest score amongst all bidders getting full points. For example, if the highest no of eligible assignment undertaken by any Team Leader cum Infrastructure Planner is say 20, points awarded would be 12. Another Team Leader cum Infrastructure Planner, securing a combined score of say 15 would be getting $12 \times 15 / 20 = 9$ points.</p>
--	---	---

**HALDIA DOCK COMPLEX
SYAMA PRASAD MOOKERJEE PORT, KOLKATA**

**“ENGAGEMENT OF CONSULTANT FOR STUDY ON DEVELOPMENT OF SMART PORT
INDUSTRIAL CITY ON 170 ACRES OF LAND OF HALDIA DOCK COMPLEX, SYAMA PRASAD
MOOKERJEE PORT, KOLKATA AT HALDIA”**

Tender No.: Ad/146/SPIC/2022

REPLY TO PRE-BID QUERIES

Sl. No.	Clause/ Section/ Page Number	Suggestions/Clarifications/Queries	Reply/Clarification of HDC, SMPK
1	-	<p>Extension of Time requested.</p> <p>As time is required for submitting the extensive Project list and for a better quality submission, we request extension of time be granted till 27th January 2023</p>	Please refer Corrigendum
2	<p>Page 26 Point C- Key Personnel A&B and</p> <p>Page 14 - Clause III, Professional Experience</p>	<p>Key personnel number, description and marks are not matching.</p> <p>1. In Pg. 14, Clause III – 3 key personnel details are mentioned whereas in Pg 26 Point C – for marking, 4 Key personnel are mentioned.</p> <p>2. The description of Key personnel in above mentioned Clauses do not match description – For Example- In Pg 14, Team leader is mentioned as “Team Leader cum Infrastructure Planner” but in Pg. 26 he is mentioned as “Team Leader-cum Project Coordinator”</p>	Please refer Corrigendum.
3	<p>Page 25- Clause IX. - EVALUATION CRITERIA AND SCORING OF TECHNO-COMMERCIAL BID.</p>	<p>(i) Number of eligible assignments undertaken by the bidder over the past 7 (seven) years preceding the date of opening of the Techno-Commercial Bid.</p> <p>(ii) Cost of Project and Consultancy Fee</p> <p>1. As the number of project requirement is high to score full marks, we request the time period for undertaking assignments be extended from 7 years to 10 years for eligible assignments</p> <p>2. We request you to confirm if the Table I and Table II for Consultancy Fee is Rs. 8 Lac and Value of Eligible assignments is Rs. 8 Lac as well.</p>	<p>-</p> <p>1. Cannot be acceded to.</p> <p>2. Under Clause-IX(i) points shall be assigned based on the criteria and consultancy fee. Whereas, under Clause IX(ii), points shall be assigned based on executed value of eligible assignment.</p>